Evaluation of patients' knowledge about anticoagulant treatment

Fiona C Taylor, Mary E Ramsay, Grace Tan, John Gabbay, Hannah Cohen

Abstract

Objective—To develop a questionnaire to evaluate patients' knowledge of anticoagulation.

Design—Anonymous self completed questionnaire study based on hospital anticoagulant guidelines.

Setting—Anticoagulant clinic in a 580 bed district general hospital in London.

Subjects—70 consecutive patients newly referred to the anticoagulant clinic over six months.

Main measures—Information received by patients on six items of anticoagulation counselling (mode of action of warfarin, adverse effects of over or under anticoagulation, drugs to avoid, action if bleeding or bruising occurs, and alcohol consumption), the source of such information, and patients' knowledge about anticoagulation.

Results-Of the recruits, 36(51%) were male; 38(54%) were aged below 46 years, 22(31%) 46-60, and 10(14%) over 75. 50 (71%) questionnaires were returned. In all, 40 respondents spoke English at home and six another language. Most patients reported being clearly advised on five of the six items, but knowledge about anticoagulation was poor. Few patients could correctly identify adverse conditions associated with poor control of anticoagulation: bleeding was identified by only 30(60%), bruising by 23(56%), and thrombosis by 18(36%). Only 26(52%) patients could identify an excessive level of alcohol consumption, and only seven (14%) could identify three or more self prescribed agents which may interfere with warfarin.

Conclusion—The questionnaire provided a simple method of determining patients' knowledge of anticoagulation, and its results indicated that this requires improvement.

Implications—Patients' responses suggested that advice was not always given by medical staff, and use of counselling checklists is recommended. Reinforcement of advice by non-medical counsellors and with educational guides such as posters or leaflets should be considered. Such initiatives are currently being evaluated in a repeat survey.

(Quality in Health Care 1994;3:79-85)

Health Care
Development Unit,
Academic Department
of Public Health,
St Mary's Hospital
Medical School,
London W2 1PG
Mary E Ramsay, lecturer
in public health medicine
John Gabbay, senior

Research Unit, Royal College of Physicians, London NW1 4LE Fiona C Taylor, research assistant Grace Tan, clinical research fellow

lecturer in public health

medicine

Departments of Haematology, St Mary's Hospital Medical School, London W2 1PG and Central Middlesex Hospital, London NW10 7NS Hannah Cohen, senior lecturer

Correspondence to: Ms F C Taylor, Health Care Development Unit, Academic Department of Public Health, Central Middlesex Hospital, Acton Lane, London NW10 7NS

Accepted for publication 23 June 1994

Introduction

Oral anticoagulants, such as warfarin, are widely used for preventing and treating vascular and thromboembolic disease. The

therapeutic range is narrow,1 and complications, such as life-threatening bleeding and re-thrombosis, can occur if patients are over anticoagulated or underanticoagulated. The efficacy of warfarin can be adversely affected by many factors, including the patients' concurrent drug treatment, change in diet or alcohol intake, and physical illness.² It is therefore essential that patients are well advised and fully recognise the risks of anticoagulant treatment. Previous reports indicate that patients receiving oral anticoagulants commonly do not know the potential complications and risks of their treatment.4 5 The objectives of this study were to develop a questionnaire to determine patients' reports of information received and to evaluate patients' knowledge of anticoagulation treatment.

Methods

The study site was a 580 bed district general hospital with an anticoagulant clinic attended by over 60 patients a week. The hospital's guidelines on managing anticoagulation were drawn up by the consultant haematologist, based on those issued by the British Society for Haematology⁶ and after discussion at the hospital physicians' audit meetings.7 They recommend that patients undergoing anticoagulant treatment should be given a standard information card8 on anticoagulation and should be counselled by the ward doctor on six items before discharge. These items include the mode of action of warfarin, adverse effects associated with over or under anticoagulation, what drugs to avoid, what action to take if bleeding or bruising occurs, and alcohol consumption. In addition, the calendar method as outlined in the current Department of Health anticoagulant treatment booklet9 should be explained. This simple method was developed to improve compliance and entails patients ticking off on a calendar the days on which warfarin is taken. Although not outlined in the guidelines, doctors in the anticoagulant clinic should reinforce the information on these six items at the patient's first clinic attendance and should also distribute the anticoagulant treatment booklet.

We designed a questionnaire based on the six items of advice outlined in the guidelines for patients to complete after their first appointment with the anticoagulant clinic's doctor. The questionnaire asked patients for personal and clinical details, what information they had been given on each of the six items, and the source of this information. Patients' knowledge of the information contained in the Department of Health booklet was tested with a "quiz" section with multiple choice questions

in which patients were asked to tick the true, false, or don't know options. The questionnaire was piloted in the clinic, and the final questionnaire (appendix 1) was found to be suitable, according to the Fog density index, for use by respondents who had received six years of schooling.¹⁰

Seventy consecutive patients newly referred to the anticoagulant clinic were recruited to the study over six months. All were given an anonymous questionnaire by the doctor in the clinic at the first consultation. The doctor explained the purpose of the study to the patient, who was asked to complete the questionnaire at home and return it by post. Reminders were sent out by post two weeks later.

Results

STUDY POPULATION

Of the patients recruited to the study, 36(51%) were male; 38(54%) were aged less than 46 years, 22(31%) were aged 46-60, and 10(14%) were aged over 75. Fifty (71%) of the 70 questionnaires administered were returned. Of the 50 respondents, 40(80%) spoke English at home, six (12%) spoke another language, and four (8%) did not specify. Nineteen respondents (38%) were retired, 11(22%) were in full time employment, 11(22%) either were claiming state benefit or were unemployed, and nine (18%) gave no information. Thirty eight (76%) had been recent inpatients at the study hospital and six (12%) at another hospital, five (10%) were referred from the outpatient department and one (2%) from their general practitioner.

CLINICAL DETAILS

Of the 50 respondents, 29(58%) reported having venous thromboembolic disease, six (12%) heart disease or surgery, five (10%) stroke, eight (16%) multiple or other diagnoses, and two (4%) did not specify. Seventeen (34%) patients had been receiving anticoagulant treatment for fewer than two weeks, 16(32%) for two to three weeks, and the remaining 17(34%) for more than four weeks. In all, 34(68%) of patients expressed concern about receiving anticoagulant treatment. Nineteen (38%) would have liked more medical advice, but only five (26%) felt unable to obtain sufficient medical advice.

Thirty two (64%) patients reported taking other medicines and of these, eight (16%) were currently taking drugs that can adversely affect anticoagulation treatment. These drugs had been self prescribed in one patient, prescribed by other hospital doctors in six patients, and by the general practitioner in the remaining patient.

PATIENTS' REPORTS OF INFORMATION RECEIVED

Apart from the calendar method, most patients reported receiving clear information on each of the six items (table 1). Among the 38 inpatients in the study hospital, however, only 19(50%) reported having been given an information card and 10(26%) reported

Table 1 Patients reporting clear advice given on six items from any source (n = 50)

Items	No (%) of patients		
Calendar method	22 (44)		
How warfarin works	38 (76)		
Action if bleeding or bruising occurs	25 (50)		
Problems with anticoagulant treatment	31 (62)		
Drugs to avoid	37 (74)		
Alcohol	41 (82)		

receiving clear advice on three items or more from the ward doctor. This compares with 19/50(38%) respondents who reported receiving such advice from the doctor in the anticoagulant clinic. Of the 50 respondents, only 10(20%) reported other sources of information, which included ward nurses, other hospital medical staff, general practitioners, and written literature.

PATIENTS' KNOWLEDGE OF ANTICOAGULATION Although 46(92%) of patients correctly answered that warfarin "thinned" the blood, knowledge of the possible side effects of poor control of anticoagulant treatment was low (table 2). Only 27(54%) patients were able to identify correctly three or more of the six genuine effects from a list of 13 conditions, and 18(36%) chose one or more of the seven unrelated conditions. In the quiz 33(66%) patients correctly reported that a new treatment could affect anticoagulant treatment, but only 26(52%) knew that changing the dose of concurrent tratment could also affect anticoagulation. Patients' knowledge of self prescribed treatment which can affect anticoagulant control was mixed (table 3); from a list of 11 self prescribed agents, only seven (14%) patients were able to identify three or more of the six agents which could adversely affect anticoagulation and, 11(22%) patients named as harmful one or more of the five "safe" agents listed.

Forty one patients reported having been clearly advised on alcohol (82%), but, only 26(52%) patients could correctly identify an excessive level of alcohol consumption, and 19(38%) patients thought that alcohol would not affect anticoagulant treatment.

Discussion

This study used patients' reports to evaluate the completeness of counselling about anticoagulation given at the study hospital and the

Table 2 Patients identifying conditions associated with poor control of anticoagulant treatment (n = 50)

Condition	No (%) of patients
Associated	effects
Blood in stools	20 (40)
Nose bleeds	26 (52)
Prolonged bleeding	30 (60)
Bruising	23 (56)
Blood in urine	18 (36)
Blood clots	18 (36)
Non-associat	ed effects
Sleeplessness	5 (10)
Weakness	9 (18)
Loss of appetite	7 (14)
Ringing in the ears	4 (8)
Nausea	7 (14)
Nervousness	7 (14)
High blood pressure	9 (18)

Table 3 Patients identifying self prescribed agents which should be avoided when taking anticoagulants (n = 50)

Self prescribed agent		No (%) of patient
	To be avoided	
Aspirin		40 (80)
Cough medicines		9 (18)
Nurofen		11 (22)
Codliver oil		4 (8)
Garlic capsules		2 (4)
Health shop remedies		7 (14)
	May be used	
Vitamin C		0 (0)
Throat lozenges		2 (4)
Optrex		1 (2)
TCP (Trichlorophenol)		0 (0)
Antacids*		8 (16)

^{*}The safety of antacids in warfarin treatment is controversial.

outcome of such counselling in terms of patients' knowledge. Recent inpatients at the hospital reported receiving little information on the risks and complications of anticoagulant treatment from the ward doctors. According to patients' reports, the doctors in the anticoagulant clinic were better at giving advice, but as the questionnaire was administered at the first clinic appointment, patients would be expected to have better recall of information given at this time.

This study also highlights a substantial gap between the information patients reported having received and the outcome in terms of their knowledge of anticoagulant treatment. For example, even though most patients reported having been advised on the problems associated with oral anticoagulants, only a small proportion were correctly able to identify most of the adverse events and some patients were confused about other side effects. This lack of knowledge was apparent despite virtually all patients knowing that warfarin "thins" the blood.

Patients' knowledge about anticoagulation was disappointing and there are two possible explanations for these findings. The first is that ward doctors at the study hospital are not giving all the information recommended in the guidelines. Previous audits of inpatient management and of the referral process showed poor compliance of ward doctors with other aspects of the guidelines on anticoagulant treatment,11 12 and compliance with the recommended counselling may also be poor. In this study 14% of patients had been prescribed treatment which can interfere with warfarin, which accords with the results of a previous study which showed poor knowledge among doctors of which drugs can interact with oral anticoagulants.5 A lack of knowledge among medical staff may contribute to a reluctance to advise patients about the risks and complications of anticoagulation. More widespread dissemination of guidelines to medical staff is required, with specific instructions on counselling patients receiving anticoagulation.

The second explanation, supported by the gap between patients' reports of advice received and their knowledge, is that patients are unable to understand and retain the advice they are given, as shown in previous studies. ¹³ ¹⁴ The poor quality of doctor patient

communication has been well described in other chronic conditions,15-17 and training in communication skills for doctors has received little emphasis in medical schools until recently. 18 More effective communication arises from understanding patients' expectations, involving patients in negotiating their treatment plan,15 and continuity and accessibility of staff.15 Better levels of patient knowledge may also be achieved if information is reinforced by simple measures such as repetition or using written material.19 The availability of a non-medical counsellor, such as a clinical pharmacist or nurse practitioner, has also been shown to increase patients' knowledge about medical treatments.20 21 Non-medical staff may be better able to offer continuing support, to talk with the patient, and to ensure that written information has been read and understood. 22

The relative importance of the two explanations for the poor level of patients' knowledge was not addressed in this study. That would require independent observation of the consultation or inclusion of the doctors' perspective and would be difficult to replicate in routine practice. Our questionnaire focused on the patients' perspective and could be repeated at the study hospital to evaluate changes in practice or adopted for use at other sites.

This study's aim was not to relate patients' levels of knowledge to clinical outcome. A previous small study was unable to demonstrate a relation between a structured programme of education and rate of complications or therapeutic control.²³ Therefore, patient education forms only one part of effective anticoagulant control; we are currently developing methods to audit other aspects of management.

Recommendations

To improve patients' knowledge it is important to focus on the process of giving information. To increase the number of patients being counselled about anticoagulant treatment by ward doctors, the guidelines have been disseminated through the computer system and through the development of condensed guidelines in the form of practice points.²⁴ For doctors in the anticoagulant clinic a checklist for counselling has been incorporated into the clinic history sheets for all new patients. Doctors often omit important items of advice,²⁵ and the checklist can act as an "aide memoire" (appendix 2).

To reinforce counselling and to provide ongoing education a trained health care assistant asks each patient attending the anticoagulant clinic five key questions. These questions highlight the most important potential complications; changes in medication, hospital admission, episodes of bleeding or bruising, attendances at the accident and emergency department, or forthcoming surgery. The assistant also distributes and explains written information in the form of a leaflet which focuses on these key points.

The use of written educational guides may also successfully alert patients to the possible

risks and complications of treatment. Such guides, including posters and leaflets, have been instructive in other conditions and promote positive changes in patients' wellbeing and improve compliance. 18 26 Clear explanation of the rationale for and potential problems with anticoagulant treatment may help to improve patients' compliance with advice given. For the anticoagulant clinic at the study hospital, a poster and a patient leaflet based on the information contained in the Department of Health anticoagulant treatment booklet⁹ have been devised.

The questionnaire was successful in evaluating the level of patients' knowledge

about anticoagulant treatment. A repeat survey of patients at the anticoagulant clinic is being performed to evaluate the effects of changes in practice at the hospital. The questionnaire proved easy to administer and has been shortened to concentrate on the important aspects of education, which are reinforced at each visit. The method has also been adapted and is currently being used at other clinic sites in North West Thames region.

We thank the Research Unit, Royal College of Physicians (London), headed by Dr Anthony Hopkins, for funding this work; Mrs Millie Simpson, anticoagulant clinic coordinator for helping in data collection; and Dr Adrian Renton for advice on the manuscript.

Appendix 1

p,	itient questionna	iwa				
	-		about vour ger	neral health and the	anticoagulant	
tr	eatment (for exam	mple, warfai	rin or phenindic	one) that you are no	w receiving	
1	Since starting antico (Please tick)	oagulant treatm	nent, would you say	that your general health	n has:	
	Improved	Worsened [Stayed the	same 🗌		
2	How long ago was y	vour present ar	nticoamilant treatme	ant storted)		
-	Less than 1 week Between 1–2 weeks		iticoaguiant ticatini	ent starteu:		
	Between 2-4 weeks					
	More than 4 weeks Can't remember					
	If more than 4 week	ks, please state	how long			
3	As far as you know, (Please tick Yes, No,	which of the f	following are reasor	ns for your present antico	oagulant treatment?	
	(Not	
	a Deep venous th	rombosis (DV)	Γ) – blood clot in l	eg vein	Yes No sure	
	b Pulmonary emb	olus (PE) - blo	ood clot in lung	-8		
	c Heart disease d Heart surgery					
	e Stroke					
	Anything else?					
4	About your present a Have you wanted Yes N	d to approach a	treatment: anyone for medical	advice?		
	b Have you been a Yes \(\subseteq \text{N} \) c If YES, who? \(\subseteq \text{C} \)	o				
5	Do you worry about	t heing on anti-	coamilant treatmen	t)		
,		ttle 🗌 🗀	Not at all	u:		
6	Please list ALL medic and without a prescri whether taken regular	ption (eg from y	our GP, hospital, he	e taking now. Please includates the constant included the constant included to to the constant included the co	de those obtained with Please also state	
	Name of preparation	(Please tio	ck one or other)	For how many weeks/months/years	Started by whom? (eg GP, hospital,	
		Taken regularly	Taken when needed	have you been taking it?	anticoagulant clinic, self, pharmacist)	

	Section B: The questions in this section are about the time you spent in the ward as a patient at Central Middlesex Hospital					
1	Were you recently an inpatient in a ward at Central Middlesex Hospital? Yes □ No □					
	Please answer question 2 only if you started anticoagulant treatment while in the ward at Central Middlesex Hospital					
2	a When you left the ward were you handed a white card "Advice for patients on anticoagulant treatment"? Yes No Not sure b Was the information on the card: Too complicated? Too simple? Just right? C Please state any problems you had with the white card:					
	ction C: The questions in this section are about the information you may have en given about your anticoagulant treatment					
1	 a Were you told how anticoagulant treatment works, and was this clear to you? Yes, and clear ☐ Yes, but not clear ☐ No ☐ b Who told you? 					
	Doctor in the anticoagulant clinic Doctor in the ward Don't know Who else (for example, GP, nurse, other clinic staff) Please state:					
2	a Were you told of the problems with anticoagulant treatment, and was this clear to you? Yes, and clear Yes, but not clear No b Who told you?					
	Doctor in the anticoagulant clinic Doctor in the ward Don't know Who else (for example, GP, nurse, other clinic staff) Please state:					
3	a Were you told what to do if you have a nosebleed or are bruising, and was this clear to you? Yes, and clear ☐ Yes, but not clear ☐ No ☐ b Who told you?					
	Doctor in the anticoagulant clinic Doctor in the ward Don't know Who else (for example, GP, nurse, other clinic staff) Please state:					
4	a Were you told of the calendar method to check your anticoagulant treatment, and was this clear to you? Yes, and clear Yes, but not clear No					
	b Who told you? Doctor in the anticoagulant clinic Doctor in the ward Don't know Who else (for example, GP, nurse, other clinic staff) Please state:					
5	a Were you told what drugs to avoid, and was this clear to you? Yes, and clear Yes, but not clear No Who told you?					
	Doctor in the anticoagulant clinic Doctor in the ward Don't know Who else (for example, GP, nurse, other clinic staff) Please state:					
6	a Were you given advice on drinking alcohol, and was this clear to you? Yes, and clear Yes, but not clear No					
	b Who told you? Doctor in the anticoagulant clinic Doctor in the ward Don't know Who else (for example, GP, nurse, other clinic staff) Please state:					
Section D: This section is a miniquiz because we would like to find out how well patients have been informed about anticoagulant treatment and the problems it may cause 1 How does warfarin work? (Please tick Yes or No for each statement)						
	a Warfarin does not affect the blood b Warfarin thins the blood c Warfarin thickens the blood					
2	Could starting a new treatment or any other preparation affect your anticoagulant treatment? Yes No Don't know					
3	Could changing the dose of a treatment you are already taking affect your anticoagulant treatment? Yes \(\subseteq \text{No} \subseteq \text{Don't know} \subseteq \end{area}					
4	The following are statements about any patient drinking alcohol when receiving anticoagulant treatment (Please tick Yes or No for each statement)					
	Alcohol does not affect anticoagulant treatment Alcohol must be avoided totally 8 units of alcohol a night is OK (for example, 4 pints of beer or 8 glasses of wine) 1 unit of alcohol a night is OK (for example, ½ pint of beer or 1 glass of wine)					

5	Of the list below, which drugs should be do not be alarmed, some of the drugs list	ed below shou	receivii ld not	ng anticoagulant treatment? Please be avoided	
	(Please tick True, False, or Don't know for	each item)			
		Don't know	True	False	
	Aspirin			П	
	Cough medicines		П		
	Nurofen	\overline{h}	Ħ	Ä l	
	Antacids (for example, Rennie, Settlers)	H	H		
	Optrex (eye solution)	H	H	H	
			Ц	닏	
	Codliver oil				
	Garlic capsules				
	TCP/Dettol				
	Vitamin C tablets				
	Throat lozenges	\Box	\Box		
	Health shop remedies		H	Ä	
	Treatur on op remedies				
6	Which of the following could be possible amount of anticoagulant treatment? Pleas (Please tick True, False, or Don't know for a country of the country of th	e do not be als each item)	armed,	some of the items are wrong	
	D14 to1	Don't know	True	raise	
	Blood in stools			닏	
	Nose bleeds	\sqsubseteq		\sqsubseteq	
	Sleeplessness				
	Prolonged bleeding after cuts				
	Bruising without injury				
	Weakness				
	Loss of appetite	\Box	\Box		
	Ringing in the ears	Ä	Ħ	Ä	
	Nausea	H	H		
	Blood in urine	H	H		
	Nervousness	H	H	님	
			\vdash	님	
	Blood clots		\vdash		
	High blood pressure				
Pa	tient details CONFIDENTIA	L			
So	that we can be sure that this questionnair	e reaches a cro	ss sect	ion of patients we would appreciate	
the following information					
	Are you replying on behalf of:				
	Yourself Somebody else				
b	If somebody else, please explain:				
U					
	i) Your relationship to the patient:				
T .C	ii) The reason your help is needed:				
IJy	ou are completing this form on behalf of the patie	ent please answer	the follo	owing questions as they apply to him/her	
	Are you (the patient): Male Female				
С	Which of the following age groups are you Under 16	ı (the patient)	in:		
	31–45				
	46–60				
	61–70				
	71 and over				
d	What language do you (the patient) speak	at home?			
	English Other (please specify)				
e	Which of the following best describes you	r (the patient's	empl)	oyment status?	
	Employed full time Employed	part time	-		
	Unemployed	sick leave or	benefit		
	Student Retired				
Th	ank you very much for taking the time	to complete	this o	uestionnaire	

McInnes GT, Helenglass G. The performance of clinics for outpatient control of anticoagulation. J R Coll Physicians Lond 1987;21:42-5.
Standing Advisory Committee for Haematology of the Royal College of Pathologists. Drug interaction with coumarin derivative anticoagulants. BMJ 1982;285:274.
Duxbury BMcD. Therapeutic control of anticoagulant treatment. BMJ 1982;294:702-4.
Colwell N, O'Neill T, Tyrell J, Robinson K, Clarke R, Graham I. An evaluation of an anticoagulant clinic. Irish Med J 1990;83:94-7.
Burns E, Wyld P, Bax N. Doctors' and patients' perspectives of adverse drug reactions in a general medical and an anticoagulant clinic. J R Coll Physicians Lond 1988;22:248-51.
British Society for Haematology, British Committee for

British Society for Haematology, British Committee for Standards in Haematology: Haematosis and Thrombosis Task Force. Guidelines on oral anticoagulation: second edition. J Clin Pathol 1990;43:177–83.

- 7 Gabbay J, McNicol M, Spiby J, Davies S, Layton A. What did medical audit achieve? Lessons from the preliminary evaluation of a year's medical audit. BMJ 1990;301: 526-9.

- 8 Advice for patients on anticoagulant treatment. London: Pharmaceutical Society of Great Britain, 1988.
 9 Department of Health. Anticoagulant treatment. London: HMSO, 1985. (Dd 8933025.)
 10 Gilliland J. Readability; UKRA teaching of reading monograph. London: University of London Press, 1972.
 11 Tan G, Cohen H, Taylor F, Gabbay J. The audit of anticoagulation therapy: initiation of anticoagulation in inpatients. J Clin Pathol 1993;46:67-71.
 12 Tan GBT, Cohen H, Taylor FC, Gabbay J. Referral of patients to an anticoagulant clinic: implications for better management. Quality in Health Care 1993;2:96-9.
 13 Ley P, Bradshaw PW, Eaves D, Walker CM. A method for increasing patients' recall of information presented by doctors. Psychol Med 1973;3:217-20.

Appendix 2

Appendix 2			14 Roddie A, Pollock A. Therapeutic control of anti- coagulation: how important is patient education? Clin
Ch	ecklist for patient counselling		Lab Haematol 1988;10:109-12. 15 Wikblad K. Patient's perspectives of diabetes care and education. J. Adv. Nursing 1991;16:837-44.
	ase tick to confirm that the use of the yellow Department of He coagulant treatment book has been explained to the patient	alth	16 Mechanic D. Health and illness behaviour and patient- practitioner relationships. Soc Sci Med 1992;12: 1345–50.
	ase tick the boxes to confirm that you have counselled the patie following items:	nt on	17 Greenfield S, Sherrie H, Kaplan H, Ware J, Martin E, Harrison J. Patients' participation in medical care. J Gen Intern Med 1988;3:448-57.
1	Compliance (the calendar method)		18 McManus I, Vincent C, Thom S, Kidd J. Teaching communication skills to clinical students. <i>BM</i> 7 1993;
2	Rationale for treatment		306:1322-7. 19 Kitchen J. Patient information leaflets – the state of the art.
3	Mode of action of warfarin		J R Soc Med 1990;83:298–300.
4	Obtaining supply of warfarin from general practitioner		20 Dodds L. Industry produced patient information leaflets: are hospital pharmacies making use of them? <i>Pharm J</i>
5	Possible effects of poor control of anticoagulation Bleeding or severe bruising Recurrence of thromboembolism		1993;243:311-2. 21 Vogt BH, Kapp C. Patient education in primary care practice. Patient Education 1987;81:273-7. 22 Kornblit P, Senderoff J, Davis-Erikson M, Zenk J. Nurse
6	Appropriate action if bleeding or bruising occurs		Practitioner 1990;15:21-33. 23 Wyness MA. Evaluation of an educational programme for
7	Appropriate action if patient has diarrhoea or is vomiting		patients taking warfarin. J Adv Nursing 1990;15:
8	Starting new treatment and changing dose of current treatmen	t 🗌	1052-63. 24 Taylor F, Ramsay M, Renton A, Cohen H. Referral of
9	Self prescribed drugs:		patients to an anticoagulant clinic. Quality in Health Care 1994;3:120.
	Avoid aspirin		25 Wells F. Patient information – the present and the future.
	Avoid Nurofen Avoid health shop remedies Ask pharmacist, doctor for advice		 J R Soc Med 1990;83:300-2. 26 Siminioff L. Improving communications with cancer patients. Oncology (Huntingt) 1992;10:83-9.
10	Alcohol intake		
11	Contraception, pregnancy, and hormone replacement therapy		
	(if relevant)		
12	Surgical procedures (including dental work)		
13	Injections		
14	Leisure activities		
Cou	unselled by: Date:		
1			